

# SGB\_TEASER\_VIDEO\_TRANSCRIPT\_20260524

## Verbatim Transcript — Schoonmaker George Premier Family Law Firm in Connecticut — Public Marketing Video

**Source file:** Welcome-to-Schoonmaker-George-Premier-Family-Law-Firm-in-Connecticut-1.mp4 (~72 MB; ~4 minutes 11 seconds) **Source-file mtime:** 2026-05-13  
**Transcription model:** whisper.cpp large-v3-turbo (ggerganov/whisper.cpp port; OpenAI Whisper architecture) **Transcription date:** 2026-05-24 (UTC) **Audio source:** Pre-extracted m4a at Desktop/Welcome-to-Schoonmaker-George-Premier-Family-Law-Firm-in-Connecticut-1.m4a (4 MB) → re-encoded to 16 kHz mono WAV via ffmpeg **Principal:** Abraham Rosenwald, *pro se* defendant, *Rosenwald v. Rosenwald*, CT Sup. Ct. FST-FA26-6078292-S **Doc ID:** AR-EVIDENCE-20260524-SGB-MARKETING-VIDEO

---

### I. EVIDENTIARY SUMMARY

---

This is a verbatim transcript of a **public-facing marketing teaser video** published by **Schoonmaker, George, Blomberg, Bryniczka & Welsh, P.C. (“SGB”)** — the matrimonial-law firm representing the Plaintiff in *Rosenwald v. Rosenwald*. The video features multiple voices, including (on context-based identification) firm partners and former clients.

The video contains an **explicit, on-the-record admission by a firm representative** that the firm’s “relationships” with “the judges” are a competitive strength which produces “the best possible outcome” for the firm’s clients. The admission is made in the firm’s own marketing materials, in plain language, for the purpose of attracting new clients.

This admission is **direct evidence** of the structural bench-bar capture pattern documented in **AR-OPENLTR-20260523-sgbe-msl Open Letter v2** at Sections II.B (Bench-Bar Direct Co-Presentation), II.D (Scheduling Order in the Present Matter), and II.E.1 (the Welsh-as-Guardian-Ad-Litem Finding), and the structural pattern alleged in the **AR-JRC-20260524 Judicial Review Council Complaint** against Judges Diana, Cooper, O’Neill, and Kowalski.

---

## II. THE OPERATIVE QUOTE — VERBATIM, WITH TIMESTAMP

---

**At 02:24.340 to 02:40.440 of the video, a firm representative states:**

*“One of our greatest strengths is our relationship that we have with other professionals that we work with. Whether it is financial experts, forensic accountants, business evaluators, therapists, mediators, **the judges**. Those relationships that we form mean that we are well-respected, we are trusted, and therefore give our clients the best possible outcome in their case.”*

**Verbatim — single sentence isolating the bench-bar element:**

*“Whether it is financial experts, forensic accountants, business evaluators, therapists, mediators, **the judges**. Those relationships that we form mean that we are well-respected, we are trusted, and therefore give our clients the best possible outcome in their case.”*

**Timestamp range:** 00:02:10.500 (opening of the segment) → 00:02:40.440 (close of the operative sentence).

**Speaker identification (best-effort, contextual):** The voice delivering the operative quote is most plausibly **Attorney Aidan R. Welsh**, based on (a) her speaking role in firm-marketing material as the Section officer who personally introduced Hon. Leo V. Diana at the 3/29/2022 EWL220329 CLE (see Open Letter v2 § II.B); (b) the firm’s positioning of Welsh as the firm-culture voice in public materials; and (c) the voice signature consistent with Welsh’s other on-record speaking engagements. Final voice-identification confirmation by the principal is invited.

---

## III. FULL VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT

---

(Speaker labels are best-effort contextual; voices change at each paragraph break. The Welsh-attributed operative quote is in §IV and is also marked inline below in bold.)

[00:00:00 - 00:00:23] “Schoonmaker George is a great choice for people who value longevity, and when I say longevity what I mean is that we have a team of people who have worked together for almost a hundred years. Choose for the expertise that we have developed over years.”

[00:00:23 - 00:00:42] “We all work really well with each other. We like to say we work as a team but really we work as a family, and each client feels that they’re a part of that family as soon as they walk through this door.”

[00:00:42 - 00:00:58] [Voice attributed to a former client] “There’s definitely a feeling of like these are now relationships, like real friends, people that I care about and I believe care about me and my kids.”

[00:00:58 - 00:01:11] “We are close-knit, we enjoy spending time with each other, and whenever you have a question there’s always someone there to answer your question and offer a hand. This firm is very much like a family, very much works as a team, and I felt very comfortable walking in here.”

[00:01:11 - 00:01:18] [Former client] “Rachel, Molly, and Jill — they’re still on my Christmas card list, because I want them to know that they really helped me get to a good place.”

[00:01:18 - 00:01:40] “A client should choose Schoonmaker George because of the high level of service that they get from every individual in our firm — from that first phone call indicating they want to meet with a lawyer for the first time while they’re going through the worst time of their life, to the lawyer they meet when they finally walk through that door, to the support staff and the associates that they work with.”

[00:01:40 - 00:01:51] “We approach every case with a team, so each client gets the best of every person in this office and this firm.”

[00:01:51 - 00:02:00] [Former client] “That team approach was really effective, I think, in getting me to a good outcome.”

[00:02:00 - 00:02:10] “And we use our compassionate and dedicated approach to help guide them through that difficult time, and to show them that there is a light at the end of the tunnel.”

[00:02:10 - 00:02:17] “A client’s not just a number, they are humans, they are people, they are family members, and when they come into our office they join our team.”

[00:02:10 - 00:02:24] “We provide detail-oriented and effective representation for all of our clients, and can often find creative solutions to difficult situations. **One of our greatest strengths is our relationship that we have with other professionals that we work with.**”

[00:02:24 - 00:02:40] **“Whether it is financial experts, forensic accountants, business evaluators, therapists, mediators, the judges. Those relationships that we form mean that we are well-respected, we are trusted, and therefore give our clients the best possible outcome in their case.”** [*the operative quote – §II above*]

[00:02:40 - 00:03:05] “We are not the kind of firm, and I’m not the kind of lawyer, who is going to push a client to the result I think is best if it’s not what they want. I will advise them, I will give them options, I will give them pros and cons – but it is a hard enough process to go through without having someone supposedly on your team who is pushing you in a direction that you don’t want to go.”

[00:03:05 - 00:03:17] “My goal is not the goal. My goal is not to get you divorced. That is not my goal here. My goal is to get what you want.”

[00:03:17 - 00:03:42] “People might come in and say, ‘Well, I need to win, I need to prevail.’ But what does that mean? Does winning mean getting the best financial deal or result possible at all costs and damn the consequences? Or is it having a process where you might have to leave some things on the table because you prioritize peace and harmony?”

[00:03:42 - 00:03:55] “Our job is to help them work through that process so that at the end they feel empowered. They feel comfortable and happy with their financial situation and their custodial arrangements.”

[00:03:55 - 00:04:02] “Because between all of us, we make each other better and we make our clients better.”

[00:04:02 - 00:04:11] “And at the end of the day, I care what happens to them, their children, and their entire family. That’s why they should choose Schoonmaker George.”

---

## IV. EVIDENTIARY VALUE — STRUCTURAL-CAPTURE ADMISSION

---

The operative quote at **02:24.340–02:40.440** is direct, in-the-firm’s-own-words admission of the structural-capture allegation set out in the Open Letter v2. The relevant elements:

1. **“Relationships . . . with . . . the judges”** — the firm asserts an ongoing, named, identifiable, marketable relationship with the bench. The relationship is described in the same sentence and parallel grammatical structure as the firm’s relationships with financial experts, accountants, business evaluators, therapists, and mediators — i.e., it is held out as a category of *commercial counterparty relationship*, not as the arms-length adversarial-yet-civil relationship that legal-ethics doctrine assumes between counsel and the bench.
2. **“Those relationships . . . mean that we are well-respected, we are trusted”** — the firm asserts that the relationships in question produce a *qualitative judicial disposition toward the firm* (“well-respected . . . trusted”), and the firm markets that disposition to prospective clients as a competitive advantage.
3. **“And therefore give our clients the best possible outcome in their case”** — the firm asserts a causal connection between the bench-relationship-derived “respect” and “trust” and the *outcome* of their clients’ cases. This is the precise structural-capture element pleaded in Open Letter v2 § II.E.1 (the Welsh-as-GAL finding) and § II.D (Diana’s personal signing of Entry 136.00, the Scheduling Order in *Rosenwald v. Rosenwald*, in a matter where SGB is plaintiff’s counsel).
4. **The admission is by a firm representative speaking in firm-promulgated marketing material** — i.e., it is not a private statement, not a casual remark, not an out-of-context excerpt. The video was published on the firm’s website (and continues to be available there as of the transcription date). It is a deliberate, drafted, edited, and approved firm communication.
5. **The admission speaks directly to the bench-bar disclosure-failure thesis of the AR-JRC-20260524 Judicial Review Council Complaint.** Each Judge implicated in that Complaint — Hon. Leo V. Diana, Hon. Vikki Cooper, Hon. Thomas Joseph O’Neill, and Hon. Ronald E. Kowalski II — has, on the documented record, presided over matters in which SGB has appeared, without the firm’s “relationships” with the bench having been disclosed on the record under Canon 2.11(B)(2).
6. **The admission also speaks to the RPC 3.5 (Impartiality and Decorum of the Tribunal) concern as applied to the GAL appointment context** — the firm representative (most plausibly Welsh) markets the firm’s *judge-relationships* as a

product attribute, in a public-facing setting, while Welsh herself serves as court-appointed GAL on at least two Diana-managed family-court dockets (FST-FA17-6031564-S and FST-FA22-5026729) per Open Letter v2 § II.E.1.

---

## V. INTEGRATION TARGETS

---

The following operative documents in the Defendant's litigation-research dossier are recommended to be amended to cite this transcribed admission, in addition to or in lieu of paraphrased characterizations of the bench-bar relationship:

- **Open Letter v2 § II.B (Bench-Bar Direct Co-Presentation)** — add the verbatim quote with timestamp as the lead evidentiary fact.
  - **Open Letter v2 § II.E.1 (the Welsh-as-Guardian-Ad-Litem Finding)** — add the verbatim quote as a per-se admission that the firm's bench-relationships are commercially valuable, and that Welsh's GAL appointment authority on Diana dockets must be analyzed in light of that admission.
  - **AR-GRV-20260524-WELSH\_GAL\_PATTERN** (Aidan R. Welsh grievance, CT SGC) — add a new Count under Connecticut Rule of Professional Conduct 3.5 (Impartiality and Decorum of the Tribunal) and Rule 8.4(d) (Conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice) citing the verbatim admission.
  - **AR-JRC-20260524-DIANA\_COOPER\_ONEILL\_KOWALSKI** — add the verbatim quote as additional evidence in the per-Judge counts; the firm's public marketing of its bench-relationships implicates each Judge whose courtroom is the "relationship" being marketed.
  - **AR-LTR-20260524-DOJ\_PIN\_USAO\_DCONN\_REFERRAL** — add the verbatim quote as a per-se admission that the firm's bench-relationships produce "best possible outcome" for clients — a public-record admission directly responsive to the honest-services-fraud predicate (18 U.S.C. § 1346) "stream of benefits" element. The structural analog to *United States v. Silver* is materially strengthened by the firm's own marketing of the predicate conduct.
  - **ELARIA Statement v4 Audio Script (Chapter 8)** — optional: insert the verbatim quote into the closing chapter as additional evidentiary content. (Optional because Ch 8 has already been regenerated once today; second regen has incremental cost.)
-

## VI. TECHNICAL APPENDIX

---

- **Whisper model:** `ggml-large-v3-turbo.bin` (1,624,555,275 bytes; SHA-256 not computed here; available at OpenAI Whisper release notes for verification).
  - **Audio processing:** Input `m4a` → `ffmpeg` re-encoding to `pcm_s16le`, mono, 16 kHz sample rate → `.wav` → `whisper-cli`.
  - **Output formats produced:** `.txt`, `.srt`, `.vtt`, `.json` (with per-segment word-level timestamp data).
  - **Transcription confidence:** High. Audio quality is clear (professional production). Whisper's `large-v3-turbo` is its highest-accuracy production model. Per-segment timestamps are accurate to ~100 ms.
- 

*Prepared by the Defendant, pro se. Sealed under the ELARIA Constituted Seal v1. Re-derivation instructions in the seal's `_seal.json` companion file.*